



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,334	12/30/2003	Paul L. Hickman	59147-8002.US02	59147-8002.US02 7600	
	7590 04/17/200 Y & INTELLECTUAI	EXAMINER			
STRATEGIES	GROUP PC dba TIPS	RICHMAN, GLENN E			
P. O. BOX 163 LOS ALTOS. (9 CA 94023-1639	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3764		
·	•				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	04/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/751,	334	HICKMAN, PAUL	HICKMAN, PAUL L.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
			ichman	3764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on th	ne cover sheet with th	e correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ry period will apply and by statute, cause the ar	"HIS COMMUNICATION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this c				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 16 November:	2006					
		☐ This action is						
′—								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	,	,				
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,8,9,15-18 and 20-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,8,9,15-18 and 20-28</u> are	subject to restric	tion and/or election r	requirement				
		subject to restric	tion and/or election i	equirement.				
	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offi	ice Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc		-	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
				.,				
Attachmeni	(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	I Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
- apor roo(s)/mail Date								

Art Unit: 3764

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3,5,8,9,18,20-28 drawn to an exercise system, classified in class
 482, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 15-17, drawn to creating an exercise experience, classified in class 434, subclass 247.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Paul Hickman on 4/9/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3764

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 571-272-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,334

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn Richman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764 Page 4